Attachment to Independent Case Review Report For CDRU #6321 Case file #95-244137.

Material Examiner: Malone (RQ)

Remarks:

Case resulted in a trial, testimony transcript not provided.

Independent Review conducted by:		Steve Robertson						
Area(s) of Expert	ise: Hair	and Fibe	er					
Review commenced at: 12:30 PM		:30 PM	PM (Time),		12/04/03		te)	
File #: 95-244	137					_	<u> </u>	
Laboratory #(s);	10630100)						
	10811060)						
	20714017	1						
			Exami	ner(s) &	Symbo			
	Devi	lewed	Not Revie		•		Reviewed	Not Reviewed
RQ		(C		n cu			Reviewed	
TR, TM, SQ			_ Xo				_	_
			0				O	o
			 Маt	erials Re	viewed	<u>_</u>		
Trial testimony tra	inscript(s) o	f: <u>1</u>	Vone					
Testimon	y Date(s):			Pa	eges:			
aboratory Report(s) :							
Laboratory	Number:	10630	0100			Date:	July 22, 1981	t .
Laboratory Number:		10630	0100, 1081	1060		 Date:	Sept. 16, 198	31
Laboratory Number: 2071		4017			Date:	July 22, 1982	2	
Examiner Bench N	lotes of:	RQ				_		
Laboratory Number:		10630	0100			_		
		1081	1060			_		
		20714	1017			-		
			Page	1 of	3	_		<u>м - 3978</u>

	Results of R	leview		
File #: 95-24	14137 Ltem or Specimen	# Reviewed:	Q1-Q7, Q	015-Q20, K1-K4, Q21-
Q26, K6-K8		<i>a</i> -		
	Review of Laboratory Repor	t(s) and Bench	1 Notes:	
	Note: Numbered comments are additional pages for any "No" or "Unal	-		nses
,	examiner perform the appropriate tests in a s , protocols, and analytic techniques available	at the time of the	he origin	al examination(s)?
· methods	, protocols, and analytic techniques available Yes examination results set forth in the laboratory	□ No X□U	Inable to l	Determine
methods Are the	examination results set forth in the laboratory in notes? Review of Test	no X = U report(s) support X = No = = = U imony:	Inable to l	Determine idequately documented in
methods Are the	examination results set forth in the laboratory	no X = U report(s) support X = No = = U imony:	Inable to larted and a lable to lable to lable or on	Determine idequately documented in Determine
Are the the benc	Review of Test Note: Numbered comments are additional pages for any "No" or "Unal	no X = U report(s) support X = No = = U imony:	Inable to larted and a lable to lable to lable or on	Determine idequately documented in Determine
Are the the benc	Review of Test Note: Numbered comments are a additional pages for any "No" or "Unal	no X = U report(s) support X = No = = U imony:	Inable to larted and a lable to lable to lable or on	Determine idequately documented in Determine
Are the the bence	Review of Test Note: Numbered comments are additional pages for any "No" or "Unal	no X = U report(s) support X = No = 0 U imony: required below a le to Determina	Inable to larted and a lable to larted and a lable to lable to lable to lable to lable lab	Determine Idequately documented in Determine
Are the the bence Transcript not the Testimony co Testimony co	Review of Test additional pages for any "No" or "Unal of available. nsistent with the laboratory report(s)?	imony:	Inable to I	Determine Idequately documented in Determine Inses Unable to Determine
Are the the bence Transcript not the Testimony co Testimony co	Review of Test Note: Numbered comments are additional pages for any "No" or "Unal available. Insistent with the laboratory report(s)?	imony: required below on the to Determine Yes	or on e" Responsi	Determine Idequately documented in Determine Inses Unable to Determine Unable to Determine

Comments

(Set forth by above question #, if applicable.
Use "Additional Comments" Sheet, if needed)

File #: 95-244137		—		
#1: With microscopic ha	iir comparison, on	e cannot determine f	rom the notes that the ex	camination was conducted
in a scientifically accept	able manner.			
#2. The results are not a	dequately docume	nted in the notes. The	e notes are not dated and	I are in pencil
instead of ink. Abbrevia	tions are used that	are hard to interpret	. There is documentation	n that hairs were recovered
from suspect Green's clo	thing, but there is	no documentation 1	nat hairs were recovered	from the victim's items.
Documentation is lacking	g that explains if	the examiner looked	only for Negroid hairs of	n Q1-Q4 or if there were
other hairs on them. If o	ther hairs were de	tected, then one must	wonder if they are the v	victim's hairs.
	-			
Review completed at:	1:15 PM	(Time), 12/04/0)3 (Date)	
				
Total time spent conduct	ing review (to nea	rest 1/4 hour):	0.75 hrs.	_
		•		
hereby certify that I cond re fully documented on the		-	nbiased manner and that 3 pages.	the results of my review
				•
		The A	Ciluita_	Dec. 4, 2003
		(Sigr	(Date)	
				` *

Initials: Suc